1	THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA and
2	RAJAN SHARMA
3	REASONS
4	
5	
6	PANEL MEMBERS: Catherine Tolton (Chair)
7	Brian Pauls
8	Kenneth Molloy
9	
10	
11	COUNSEL FOR THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA:
12	Rocky Kravetsky
13	
14	COUNSEL FOR MEMBER: Steve Vincent
15	
1.6	
17	Hearing held at The Law Society of Manitoba,
18	219 Kennedy Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba, April 2, 2014.
19	
20	
21	
22	FOUR SEASONS REPORTING
23	91 Ashford Drive
24	Winnipeg, Manitoba R2N 1K7
25	(204) 256-2343

ORIGINAL

THE CHAIR: Thank you. So, the panel has had its deliberations, and we are prepared to give an oral decision and reasons for our decision at this time.

2.2

I would like to start by first thanking counsel, Mr. Kravetsky and Mr. Vincent, for your very helpful and concise materials and submissions, and the Agreed Statement of Facts. And thank you, Mr. Sharma, for your cooperation with this process.

You, Mr. Sharma, have been charged with failing to discharge with integrity the duties that you owe to your clients, the court, other members of the profession and the public, which is contrary to Chapter 1 of the Code of Professional Conduct adopted by the benchers of The Law Society of Manitoba. In particular, you have breached this duty in that you were purported to have witnessed the execution of three powers of attorney without having actually witnessed their execution.

Mr. Sharma, you have admitted that the facts set out in the Agreed Statement of Facts constitutes professional misconduct, and the panel has resolved, in accordance with Law Society Rule 5-96(5) that you having admitted the facts, those facts are found to be proved to the satisfaction of this panel, and the facts having been proved and you having agreed with the facts set out in the Statement of Agreed Facts constitutes professional

misconduct, you are hereby found guilty of professional misconduct as a result of the conduct described in the citation, which is briefly, that you have purported to have witnessed the execution of the three powers of attorney without having actually witnessed their execution.

I want to be clear for the record that this is the only charge before The Law Society, and there is no suggestion before us that Mr. Sharma in any way participated in a fraud, or was involved with the subsequent sale of land by others who used these powers of attorney.

We find that there are a number of factors that have led to our acceptance and agreement with the joint submission on penalty recommended by both the counsel for the member and for The Law Society. These include the fact that you, Mr. Sharma, have no prior disciplinary record with either The Law Society of Manitoba or with The Law Society in India, where you practised for seven years prior to practising law in Canada.

You have admitted the facts and pled guilty to the charge. There has been a joint recommendation by counsel for the member of The Law Society with respect to penalty. This appears to be an isolated error in judgment. You have had no prior disciplinary record in either jurisdictions. You have expressed regret about this

incident, and you have acknowledged that your conduct was inappropriate and wrong.

We would like to also mention, though, that we are concerned that inexperience as a practising lawyer in Canada, and reliance on the integrity and good intentions of a person with whom you have had prior dealings, may have led you into this painfully sad consequence.

So, as a caution to you, and all practitioners, that it is very important that one's personal integrity and professional responsibility are, that you be aware that your personal integrity and professional responsibility are always on the line and not ever to be compromised.

We caution you in the future to not let a client overrule your professional judgment or obligations, and as a lawyer, we remind you that you are a public representative of the legal profession, and you must conduct yourself with integrity at all times, as must all lawyers.

With respect to penalty, we have resolved that, Mr. Sharma, you be reprimanded for professional misconduct, and that you be ordered to pay the costs of The Law Society in the amount of \$2,000, payable in equal instalments over a 10-month period commencing now.

With respect to publication, we agree that publication, as is required by The Law Society rules, but

1	1 we are not ordering any further publica	tion beyond that.
2	2 MR. KRAVETSKY: These then	are your reasons?
3	3 THE CHAIR: These are.	
4	4 MR. KRAVETSKY: So they wil	l be transcribed and
5	5 signed in due course?	
6	6 THE CHAIR: Yes.	
7	7 MR. KRAVETSKY: I have no o	ther questions.
8	8 MR. VINCENT: Nothing from	me.
9	9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thi	s panel is
10	0 adjourned.	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
/ "		

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	
5	I, JEFF BRUCE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify
6	that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 5, are a true and
7	accurate transcript of the proceedings herein as recorded
8	by me to the best of my skill and ability.
9	
10	GH D
11	Jeff Bruce
12	Court Reporter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE PRECEDING 6 PAGES CONSTITUTE THE DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION OF THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA RENDERED THE 2nd DAY OF APRIL, 2014, IN THE MATTER OF:

RAJAN SHARMA

Catherine Tolton, Chair

Brian Pauls

Kenneth Molloy