| | 1 | THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA and | |
|----------------|----|---|---|
|] | 2 | JOHN SLAWKO PETRYSHYN | |
| | 3 | REASONS | |
|] | 4 | | |
| } | 5 | | |
| | 6 | PANEL MEMBERS: William Haight (Chair) | |
| | 7 | Jennifer Cooper, Q.C. | |
| | 8 | Miriam Browne | |
| } | 9 | | |
| | 10 | | |
| | 11 | COUNSEL FOR THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA: | |
| J | 12 | Darcia A.C. Senft | |
| | 13 | | |
| - ₁ | 14 | COUNSEL FOR MEMBER: Self Represented | |
| | 15 | | |
|] | 16 | | |
| J | 17 | Hearing held at The Law Society of Manitoba | |
| | 18 | 219 Kennedy Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba, October 6, 2014 | • |
| 1 | 19 | | |
| | 20 | | |
| 1 | 21 | | |
| | 22 | FOUR SEASONS REPORTING | |
| | 23 | 91 Ashford Drive | |
| J | 24 | Winnipeg, Manitoba R2N 1K7 | |
| | 25 | (204) 256-2343 | |

| 1 | THE CHAIR: The panel has reached a unanimous |
|----|---|
| 2 | decision. These will be our reasons, and the transcript of |
| 3 | these reasons shall serve as our written decision. |
| 4 | Before I begin I should point out for the |
| 5 | record that during the course of submissions this morning |
| 6 | members of the public were present, but have chosen not to |
| 7 | stay to hear the disposition, but I think the record should |
| 8 | indicate that. |
| 9 | MS. SENFT: Mr. Chair, could I just interrupt |
| 10 | you for one moment? |
| 11 | THE CHAIR: Yes. |
| 12 | MS. SENFT: I'm not certain if I misstated the |
| 13 | date of the citation in my oral submission. I may have |
| 14 | said 2013. I don't know if I did. |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: I don't recall. |
| 16 | MS. SENFT: It's June 3, 2014. |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Yes, exactly, and I was just about |
| 18 | to say, I didn't hear that, Ms. Senft, but I was just about |
| 19 | to say by a citation dated June 3, 2014 |
| 20 | MS. SENFT: Thank you. |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: The Law Society of Manitoba |
| 22 | charged the member, Mr. John Slawko Petryshyn, with three |
| 23 | counts of professional misconduct. |
| 24 | Mr. Petryshyn appeared before this panel of the |
| 25 | Discipline Committee on today's date, October 6, 2014. He |

attended without counsel. The Law Society of Manitoba was
represented by Darcia Senft. The panel members were Miriam
Browne, public representative, Jennifer Cooper, Q.C., and
myself, Bill Haight, who acted as chair.

The citation was filed as Exhibit 1, and an Agreed Statement of Facts, signed today, was filed as Exhibit 2.

Mr. Petryshyn admitted membership in The Law Society of Manitoba, admitted valid service of the citation, and had no objection to any of the panel members on the basis of bias or conflict.

Having established jurisdiction, the panel then heard Mr. Petryshyn's plea to the charges, and Mr. Petryshyn waived the formal reading of the citation and entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 3 of the citation, Count 2 being stayed by Ms. Senft.

Mr. Petryshyn admits that the witnesses available to The Law Society would, if called, testify in accordance with the facts set out in the Agreed Statement of Facts. Mr. Petryshyn also acknowledged that his conduct as described in Counts 1 and 3 of the citation, and as set out in the Agreed Statement of Facts, constitute professional misconduct.

The panel finds, and resolves, pursuant to
Rule 5-96(5) of The Law Society Rules that, after hearing

| 1 | the submissions of the member and counsel for The Law |
|---|---|
| 2 | Society, and after considering the facts agreed to in the |
| 3 | Agreed Statement of Facts, that the acts and omissions |
| 4 | stated in Counts 1 and 3 have been proved to the |
| 5 | satisfaction of the panel, and that those acts and |
| 6 | omissions constitute professional misconduct on the part of |
| 7 | Mr. Petryshyn. |

1.3

This panel therefore finds Mr. Petryshyn guilty of professional misconduct for Counts 1 and 3 of the citation.

Rule 7.2-11 of the Code of Professional Conduct requires a lawyer to honour every trust condition once accepted. Our system relies heavily on this principle. It is important for the public that this principle be followed strictly.

In this instance there were consequences for the third party, namely, the purchaser of the property, consequences that went beyond inconvenience, and the panel views that as an aggravating circumstance.

There was also a breach of 7.2-1, courtesy of good faith, and that provision is also there to protect the public.

There is a joint submission that has been made, and I will not recount the joint submission right now, other than to say that we are going to follow that joint

submission, and I will detail what that is in a moment, but the law is clear that a discipline panel must give serious consideration to a joint submission on penalty, unless the penalty is unfit, unreasonable or contrary to the public interest. It should not be departed from unless there are good and cogent reasons for doing so.

21.

We note that the joint recommendation is not inconsistent with previous disciplinary decisions; that the member has no record, in fact, has had a long unblemished history in the practice of law. It is clear that the member understands his obligation that he is not to accept a trust condition that he could not be sure that he would be able to fulfil.

The member has made changes in his practice to try and ensure that this will not happen again. He has been cooperative with The Law Society. His plea of guilty is an indication of remorse and responsibility.

A reprimand is a public disposition, and after 43 years of unblemished record Mr. Petryshyn will now have a disciplinary record that is accessible to the public. The panel believes that publication as required by the rules is a strong general deterrent to members of the profession and, therefore, a protection of the public.

In addition to the publication of the reprimand there have been financial consequences to Mr. Petryshyn

beyond the order of costs of \$2,000 that have been jointly recommended.

The panel therefore concludes that the joint recommendation is a disposition which will fulfil the fundamental goal of The Law Society of Manitoba to protect the public, and pursuant to rule 5-96(7) of the rules, and section 72 of the *Legal Professions Act* the panel imposes the following penalty as indicated in the Agreed Statement of Facts:

Namely, that Mr. Petryshyn be reprimanded. That Mr. Petryshyn be required to pay costs to The Society in the amount of \$2,000 as a contribution towards the cost associated with the investigation, prosecution and hearing of this matter; and, with respect to the payment of costs to The Society,

- (a) the payment of costs is to be made on a monthly basis in the amount of \$250 per month, commencing on November 1, 2014, and monthly thereafter until the costs are paid in their entirety, and;
- (b) in the event of a default in any one payment or, if a cheque is dishonoured when tendered, and the default is not rectified, or the cheque replaced with cash or money order, the entire amount outstanding will become due and payable within 30 days of the date when the default in payment occurred.

| 1 | That is our decision, and those are our |
|----|---|
| 2 | reasons. Are there any questions? |
| 3 | MS. SENFT: Just one, Mr. Chair, just with |
| 4 | respect to the rule references. The breach of trust |
| 5 | condition rule is 7.2 |
| 6 | THE CHAIR: 11. |
| 7 | MS. SENFT: 11. |
| 8 | THE CHAIR: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. SENFT: And the breach of |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: courtesy and good faith I |
| 11 | believe is 7.2-1. |
| 12 | MS. SENFT: 5. |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: -5. Thank you very much. |
| 14 | MS. SENFT: I will just make sure here. Yes, |
| 15 | 7.2-5. |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. |
| 17 | MS. SENFT: Thank you. |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: Anything further, Mr. Petryshyn? |
| 19 | MR. PETRYSHYN: No, sir. |
| 20 | THE CHAIR: Okay, very good. Good morning. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:55 A.M.) |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | |
|-----|---|
| 2 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, JEFF BRUCE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify |
| 6 | that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 7, are a true and |
| 7 | accurate transcript of the proceedings herein as recorded |
| 8 | by me to the best of my skill and ability. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Jeff Bruce |
| 12 | Court Reporter |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 2.4 | |

THE PRECEDING 8 PAGES CONSTITUTE THE DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION OF THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA RENDERED THE 6th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2014, IN THE MATTER OF:

JOHN SLAWKO PETRYSHYN

William Haight Chair

Jennifer Cooper, Q.C.

Miriam Browne