| 1 | THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA and |
|----|--|
| 2 | DOUGLAS ALBERT MAYER |
| 3 | REASONS |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | PANEL MEMBERS: Brian A. Pauls (Chair) |
| 7 | Brock Lee, Q.C. |
| 8 | Neil Cohen |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | COUNSEL FOR THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA: |
| 12 | Rocky Kravetsky |
| 13 | |
| 14 | COUNSEL FOR MEMBER: Gavin Wood |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Hearing held at The Law Society of Manitoba, |
| 18 | 219 Kennedy Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba, June 18, 2015. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | FOUR SEASONS REPORTING |
| 23 | 91 Ashford Drive |
| 24 | Winnipeg, Manitoba R2N 1K7 |
| 25 | (204) 256-2343 |

ORIGINAL

| 1 | THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you for your |
|-----|---|
| 2 | patience, everyone. The Discipline Committee has had a |
| 3 | meeting, and it has been our determination that the best |
| 4 | course to follow would be for me to deliver our decision |
| 5 | orally. Hopefully the transcript of that oral statement |
| 6 | will constitute the reasons for decision in how good a job |
| 7 | the transcription is. |
| 8 | So, this is a decision of The Law Society of |
| 9 | Manitoba Discipline Committee rendered June 18, 2015, |
| 10 | subsequent to a hearing the same day regarding Mr. Douglas |
| 11 | Albert Mayer. The Society was represented by Mr. Rocky |
| 12 | Kravetsky; the member was represented by Mr. Gavin Wood. |
| 13 | Mr. Mayer admitted membership in the Society |
| 14 | that he was validly served with a citation, that no |
| 15 | objection was taken as to the composition of the members of |
| 16 | the Discipline Committee. Mr. Mayer entered an admission |
| 17 | that is a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the |
| 18 | citation. |
| 19 | Count 1 stated as follows: |
| 20 | "While representing your client |
| 21 | S.T with respect to a child |
| 22 | welfare matter, you acted contrary |
| 23 | to Rule 3.2-1 of the Code of |
| 24 | Professional Conduct adopted by the |
| 2 = | Renchers of The Law Society of Manitoba. |

in that you failed to provide your client with the quality of service required of a lawyer which is service that is competent, timely, conscientious, diligent, efficient and civil.

- (a) On numerous occasions during the course of your client's retainer, you failed to reply within a reasonable time, or at all, to communications from your client which required replies.
- (b) During the course of your client's retainer, you failed to provide your client with information as to the status of her matter on a timely or regular basis, despite having received repeated requests from your client for such information.
- (c) During the course of your client's retainer, you failed to respond to several communications that you received from counsel representing the Director of Child and Family Services.
- (d) During the course of your client's retainer you failed to provide her with

| 1 | | relevant information regarding her legal |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | | matter on a timely basis in that you |
| 3 | | received such information on May 5, 2012 |
| 4 | | and you did not provide it to your client |
| 5 | | until August 16, 2012." |
| 6 | Count | 2: |
| 7 | | "While representing your client S.T |
| 8 | | with respect to a child welfare matter, |
| 9 | | you acted contrary to the requirements |
| 10 | | of Rule 5-43(1)(c) of the Rules of the |
| 11 | | Law Society of Manitoba made by the |
| 12 | | Benchers of The Law Society of Manitoba, |
| 13 | | in that on November 16, 2011 you withdrew |
| 14 | | the sum of \$1,000.00 from your pooled |
| 15 | | trust account to pay for the recovery of |
| 16 | | fees and/or disbursements without having |
| 17 | | prepared and sent or delivered a |
| 18 | | statement of account for such fees and/or |
| 19 | | disbursements to your client on or before |
| 20 | | making such withdrawal." |
| 21 | Count | 3: |
| 22 | | "While representing your client S.T |
| 23 | | with respect to a child welfare matter, |
| 24 | | you acted contrary to the requirements |
| 25 | | of Rule 5-43(1)(c) of the Rules of the |

Law Society of Manitoba made by the 1 Benchers of The Law Society of Manitoba, 2 in that on March 29, 2012 you withdrew 3 \$1,000.00 from your pooled trust account to pay for the recovery of fees and/or 5 disbursements without having prepared 6 and sent or delivered a statement of 7 account for the full amount of such fees 8 and/or disbursements to your client on 9 or before making such withdrawal." 10 Further counts on the citation were withdrawn 11 by The Law Society. 12 A signed statement of agreed facts was filed 13 with the committee as Exhibit 2; the citation having been 14 filed as Exhibit 1. 15 Upon reviewing the agreed facts and hearing 16 the submissions of counsel, the panel is satisfied that 17 the member is guilty of professional misconduct as alleged, 18 and that Charges 1, 2 and 3 in the citation have been 19 20 proved. The facts are, in summary, Mr. Mayer was 21 retained by the complainant to assist her in the return of 22 foster children taken from her foster home by the 23 appropriate authority. He began by writing letters, 24 requesting a review. Along the way her licence to operate

25

a foster home was cancelled, more or less, by the same authority.

Her appeal of that licence cancellation was dismissed in December 2011. Mr. Mayer was notified in a timely way of that, and he drew up a notice of appeal.

He did not follow the appropriate appeal procedures, did not avail himself of possible assistance, which evidently was being proffered to him by the government counsel, to explain the process to him, and although at some point fairly early on in 2012 he must have realized that he was not going to be ably to obtain a successful result for the client, but he did not respond to her request for updates, and did not enlighten her at all as to his inability to pursue an appeal until the complaint to The Law Society was made.

Along the way he accepted retainer cheques from her, each in the amount of \$1,000, and after depositing the cheques into trust, subsequently transferred the funds into his general account, without rendering her a statement of the account.

Our role in the disciplinary process has as its primary function the protection of the public. It follows that our role is to ensure that such protection takes place, and that the public is protected.

In so doing, we have considered the need for

| 1 | specific terms of the member, and also the general rule |
|---|---|
| 2 | of mitigation, including what we had described to us very |
| 3 | effectively by his counsel as to the efforts which |
| 4 | Mr. Mayer has made, to seek the appropriate help and |
| 5 | guidance to enable him to practice his profession |
| 6 | honourably in the future. |

Although he has a past disciplinary record on two other occasions, we are led to believe, and we accept, that the efforts to avert any recurrence of the types of problems that may have plagued him in the past are genuine ones.

The joint recommendation of two distinguished counsel carries great weight with us, and the panel is unanimous in endorsing that recommendation.

So, we accept the recommendation, which is that there be a fine of \$3,000, and that Mr. Mayer pay the sum of \$6,000 in addition as a contribution to the costs incurred by The Law Society in bringing the matter to this point.

So, that is our decision, subject to what might be done about the manner in which time to pay is handled. During your submission, Mr. Wood, you suggested that the total, which is \$9,000, would be payable, you proposed, by payments of \$125 over 72 months, and with a commencement date, would July 1st be acceptable?

| 1 | MR. WOOD: Would July 15th, the 15th of each |
|----|---|
| 2 | month, beginning |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: July 15th, and on the 15th of each |
| 4 | month thereafter? |
| 5 | MR. WOOD: Yes. |
| 6 | THE CHAIR: And what position do you have, |
| 7 | Mr. Kravetsky, on that? |
| 8 | MR. KRAVETSKY: That's acceptable. I have no |
| 9 | objection. |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: The panel had some brief discussion |
| 11 | about the amount of time it would take Mr. Mayer to pay |
| 12 | that, and the fact that it was an absolute amount without |
| 13 | any factor taken into account for interest and the like. |
| 14 | Do you have any position about that? |
| 15 | MR. KRAVETSKY: Again, no position is taken |
| 16 | with interest. So long as he makes his payments the |
| 17 | Society wouldn't be concerned with that. The way this |
| 18 | would work, again, subject to your direction, would be, |
| 19 | again, my suggestion was that the amount be specified to |
| 20 | be a minimum, and that in default the entire amount becomes |
| 21 | payable. |
| 22 | The Society is of course entitled to register |
| 23 | the amount as a judgment, and that it bears interest from |
| 24 | the time that they register it, bears interest at the |
| 25 | court-ordered rate if there is default. |

| 1 | THE CHAIR: All right. So, I do not have the |
|----|--|
| 2 | precise wording in my hand. The fine and costs would be |
| 3 | \$9,000 payable on the terms that we just discussed, and the |
| 4 | principal involved would be that if a payment was missed, |
| 5 | and not made up within 30 days, then the Society would have |
| 6 | the right to accelerate the entire amount, is that how it |
| 7 | works? |
| 8 | MR. KRAVETSKY: Sure. There should be some, |
| 9 | obviously some amount. I would say 30 days seems rather |
| 10 | generous. I would have suggested 15 days notice in writing |
| 11 | to the member, and in the event of default, if he doesn't |
| 12 | make it up, if the default is not cured after 15 days |
| 13 | notice, then the entire amount is due and payable. |
| 14 | THE CHAIR: Is that understood, Mr. Wood? |
| 15 | MR. WOOD: Yes, it is. |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: All right. |
| 17 | MR. WOOD: And I was actually going to mention |
| 18 | to Mr. Mayer afterwards that if there is a problem with a |
| 19 | payment, he contacts the Society ahead of time to let them |
| 20 | know. |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: All right. So, as far as notice is |
| 22 | concerned, the rules allow the entire matter to be in the |
| 23 | discretion of the committee. I take it, apart from what is |
| 24 | not at the discretion of the committee, as to mandated |
| 25 | notice to the profession, correct? |

| 1 | MR. KRAVETSKY: The ordinary notice would be |
|----|---|
| 2 | publication by way of a discipline digest, and posting on |
| 3 | the website. |
| 4 | THE CHAIR: Yes. |
| 5 | MR. KRAVETSKY: Nothing further than that is |
| 6 | requested. Of course these are open proceedings, and the |
| | statute, The Legal Profession Act and the rules provide for |
| 7 | |
| 8 | disclosure of exhibits and other matters in the discretion |
| 9 | of the CEO. |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: There is no additional request |
| 11 | being made by you? |
| 12 | MR. KRAVETSKY: No additional request. |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: Also, members of the panel had a |
| 14 | small discussion about the fact that the complainant was |
| 15 | present and has been sitting there quietly. |
| 16 | Have you been in discussion with her? Is there |
| 17 | anything that you believe that we ought to have known or |
| 18 | should know now of any point? |
| 19 | MR. KRAVETSKY: I've certainly been in |
| 20 | discussion with her in preparation for the potential that |
| 21 | she would testify, and I don't know of anything that she |
| 22 | might want to say to you |
| 23 | She and I have had a discussion. She has |
| 24 | expressed some opinions, as you can imagine, but I don't |
| 25 | think there's anything I need to tell you. But certainly |

| 1 | if you wish to hear from her I have no objection to that. |
|----|---|
| 2 | It's not generally provided for in the process, and she |
| 3 | hasn't asked to speak to you |
| 4 | THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Anything else? |
| 5 | MR. LEE: No. |
| 6 | MR. COHEN: No. |
| 7 | THE CHAIR: Any questions further from |
| 8 | Mr. Mayer or from counsel? |
| 9 | MR. WOOD: No, sir, thank you. |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: All right. So the process will be |
| 11 | that in due course this will get typed up, distributed, and |
| 12 | hopefully everybody will move forward with their lives and, |
| 13 | Mr. Mayer, I think everyone wishes you the very best, and |
| 14 | hopefully nothing but good things will happen in the future |
| 15 | and we won't see you again. |
| 16 | MR. MAYER: Except in the usual course. |
| 17 | MR. WOOD: We thank the panel for its time. |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | (REASONS CONCLUDED AT 11:52 A.M.) |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, JEFF BRUCE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify |
| 6 | that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 11, are a true and |
| 7 | accurate transcript of the reasons herein as recorded by me |
| 8 | to the best of my skill and ability. |
| 9 | 1 MS |
| 10 | Guff D- |
| 11 | Jeff Bruce |
| 12 | Court Reporter |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

THE PRECEDING 12 PAGES CONSTITUTE THE DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION OF THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA RENDERED THE 18th DAY OF JUNE, 2015, IN THE MATTER OF:

DOUGLAS ALBERT MAYER

Brian A. Rauls, Chair

Brock Lee, Q.C.

Neil Cohen