1	THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA and
2	JUNLING WANG
3	REASONS
4	
5	
6	PANEL MEMBERS: R. Scott (Chair)
7	J. McLandress
8	L. Gibson
9	
10	
11	COUNSEL FOR THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA:
12	R. Kravetsky
13	
14	COUNSEL FOR MEMBER: S. Green, Q.C.
15	
16	
17	Hearing held at The Law Society of Manitoba,
18	219 Kennedy Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba, November 10, 2015.
19	
20	
21	
22	FOUR SEASONS REPORTING
23	91 Ashford Drive
24	Winnipeg, Manitoba R2N 1K7
25	(204) 256-2343



THE CHAIR: We are in a position to provide you with our decision now, which I will read out. There may be a little bit of editing required, assuming I can read my own handwriting, but the substance will obviously remain the same. Our decision is short and to the point.

This matter comes before the panel on a joint recommendation. Ms. Junling Wang accepts the findings of professional misconduct with respect to two separate and distinct failures to respond to a 14-day letter from The Law Society, contrary to Rules 5-64(3) and 5-64(4), as well as the facts as set forth in the citation.

The joint recommendation calls for a reprimand with respect to Count 1, and a \$750 fine, plus \$1,500 costs with respect to Count 2. The member has had no previous involvement with the Discipline Committee.

Having reviewed the background material and counsels' submissions, we see no "clear and cogent reasons" for departing from the recommendation (see R. v. Thomas, 2000 MBCA 148 at para. 5 and Rault v. Law Society of Saskatchewan, 2009 SKCA 81 at para. 28). We therefore find, as required by Rule 5-96(5), that the acts stated in the citation have been proven to our satisfaction, and amount to professional misconduct.

MR. GREEN: Sure.

25 THE CHAIR: Thank you.

1	MR. KRAVETSKY: It's usual to ask, sir, whether
2	the transcript of your reasons will constitute your
3	reasons, or do you propose to have something more formal
4	done? Normally we just have them transcribed and
5	circulated for the members of the
6	THE CHAIR: All right. Why don't we just do
7	that. Are there any requirements for time to pay, or
8	anything like that, Mr. Green, or is that something you
9	have discussed with Mr. Kravetsky?
10	MR. GREEN: A requirement of time to pay?
11	THE CHAIR: Yes.
12	MR. GREEN: I'll talk to Mr. Kravetsky. I
13	don't think there's a problem here.
14	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Does that
15	conclude our matter, then, Mr. Kravetsky?
16	MR. KRAVETSKY: Yes.
17	THE CHAIR: And thank you for your hand. That
18	saved me a little bit of work.
19	MR. KRAVETSKY: Thank you, sir. I believe
20	that's, as far as I can remember that's everything that we
21	need to do with this case.
22	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Green and
23	Mr. Kravetsky.
24	
25	(HEARING CONCLUDED AT 10:55 A.M.)

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	
5	I, TOM BRUCE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify
6	that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 3, are a true and
7	accurate transcript of the proceedings herein as recorded
8	by me to the best of my skill and ability.
9	
10	
11	Tom Bruce
12	Court Reporter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE PRECEDING 4 PAGES CONSTITUTE THE DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION OF THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA RENDERED THE 10th DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2015, IN THE MATTER OF:

JUNLING WANG

Richard J. Scott, Chair

Jamés McLandress

Lorne Gibson