1	THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA and
2	GARY SAMUEL STERN
3	REASONS
4	
5	
6	PANEL MEMBERS: D. Gray (Chair)
7	L. Turnbull
8	L. McCarthy
9	
10	
11	COUNSEL FOR THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA:
12	D. Gunn, Esq.
13	
14	COUNSEL FOR THE MEMBER:
15	G. Wood, Esq.
16	
17	
18	Hearing held at The Law Society of Manitoba,
19	219 Kennedy Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba, February 2, 2017.
20	
21	
22	FOUR SEASONS REPORTING
23	91 Ashford Drive
24	Winnipeg, Manitoba R2N 1K7
25	(204) 256-2343

## ORIGINAL

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have had an opportunity to consider the materials as filed and the submissions of counsel, and we are ready to provide our decision, which we will do from my comments orally, and not in any written form. It is a short decision, and pretty clear.

Obviously if I misspeak, I reserve the right to correct that at some later time, but I do not anticipate I will.

This matter comes before this panel on a joint recommendation. Mr. Stern has entered a guilty plea to professional misconduct with respect to two distinct sets of events, and those facts are set forth in the citation.

The joint recommendation calls for reprimands on both counts, and costs with respect to each, which have been set out. The member has no previous involvement with the Discipline Committee.

We want to comment particularly that we were much impressed with the supportive and clear submissions of counsel for the Society who outlined why a reprimand was, in this circumstance, an appropriate and just sanction. We accept those submissions:

We also particularly were impressed that the member, at his own initiative, sought out the practice advisor, and has implemented into his regime those recommendations that appear to have come from

1	Mr. Christianson, who is well respected both by the panel
2	and within the Society generally. That bodes well for
3	there not being a repeat, and for Mr. Stern's future
4	success.
E	T would say as I maked massis and a that the

I would say, as I noted previously, that the standard for rejection of a joint submission has recently been increased, and we believe that we would be bound by R. v. Anthony-Cook, 2016 SCC 43.

We therefore find that the acts stated in the citation have been proven to our satisfaction and find Mr. Stern guilty of each count of professional misconduct as set out in the citation and we impose as the penalty the submissions provided by counsel.

For the record, those submissions are contained in the joint submission, and in Exhibit 3, tab 1, of penalty, which are a reprimand with respect to the complaint involving SM, together with costs of \$1,500 towards the investigation and prosecution of the hearing of this matter.

With respect to the complaint of WC, a reprimand, together with costs in the amount of \$900. So, a total of \$2,400. Those costs are payable on a monthly basis at \$100 per month --

MR. STERN: I'll pay it off in a month.

THE CHAIRPERSON: There's an agreement

1	Mr. Stern, you are fully free to speak, but I am going
2	to
3	MR. WOOD: You're fully free.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: You are entitled, because of
5	the agreement, to \$100 per month commencing April 1, 2017,
6	and monthly thereafter. In the event of default, which
7	remains outstanding in excess of 30 days, the full amount
8	shall become due and payable.
9	You are of course entitled to pay it off in
10	advance. Feel free to do that. And that would of course
11	end your obligation to the Society if you did that. We
12	would be satisfied obviously with that.
13	Subject to any questions of counsel or of the
14	recorder, those I think are the only comments we have.
15	Ms. McCarthy?
16	MS. McCARTHY: No further comments.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Turnbull?
18	MS. TURNBULL: Nothing.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Gunn, nothing?
20	MR. GUNN: No, thank you.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Wood, nothing?
22	MR. WOOD: No, thank you.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Then that concludes the
24	matter. This matter is adjourned. Thank you.
25	(HEARING CONCLUDES AT 10:30 A.M.)

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	
5	I, TOM BRUCE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify
6	that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 4, are a true and
7	accurate transcript of the proceedings herein as recorded
8	by me to the best of my skill and ability.
9	
10	
11	Tom Bruce
12	Court Reporter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1.8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE PRECEDING 5 PAGES CONSTITUTE THE DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION OF THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF MANITOBA RENDERED THE 2<sup>nd</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2017, IN THE MATTER OF:

**GARY SAMUEL STERN** 

David Gray, Chair

Lorna Turnbull

Lynne McCarthy